Cl. No. 6917
K 1163
1897-98

From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments.

Dated 14th March 1898
Despatched 22nd Do
Received
General No.
No. 1247.
Enclosure No. Bill

LR. 46-88-14

Submits for sanction a
refund bill for Rs 130/- in favor
of the Manager of the Nagaratha-
Swami temple at Begur
in Bangalore Taluk for the
years 1895-96 and 1896-97 for the
use of the temple.

H D Ahuja
Rec 24/3/98

Rec'd 26/3

Refer back up
to 29/3

Subs with draft for appl
1/4/98

D. No. 1247.
En: 1 bill.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 16th March 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments

Sir,

In the final settlement of the Sthala Kayangutta village of Nagenattha-pur in the Bangalore Taluk the Inam Superintendent raised the Quit rent from Rs 30/- to Rs 95/- and directed that Rs 65/- thereof should be paid to the Nagaraswaraswami temple at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk and Rs 30/- to Government.

2. But the whole of the Quit-rent was credited to Government in the years 1895-96 and 1896-97.

3. A refund bill for Rs 130/- for the years 1893-94 and 1894-95 — in favor of the manager of the said institution was sanctioned vide Government Proceedings No. 4363—64 / 7½ 141 dt 341

of the 13th November 1896.

4. I have the honor therefore to submit for sanction a similar refund bill for Rs 130/- in favor of the said manager for 1895-96 and 1896-97 at the rate of 65 Rs. a year.

*I have the honor to be*
*Sir*
*Your most obedient servant*

*Dy. Commissioner,*
*Bangalore District.*

Draft for approval

16/2

Submitted Mrs Chew Baldwin

Ella Hoe

See letter

17/2/91

Master

Submit a clean

draft as indicated

by Mrs Chew Baldwin

Ella Hoe

Sir

Submitted.

Ella

19/2/91.

Submitted to the Commissioner 8/7/1891

Read Letter no. 658 dy 21st Jany 1889 from the Dy. Commissioner Bangalore regarding the unauthorised alienation of the Thayamgatta village of Nagamathipura Bangalore taluq granted for services in the Nageswaraswamy temple at Begur.

Order — The document of Plava (1841-42) executed by Venkatarya Nayanaiya to Venkataraya clearly alienates the village with the exception of the two Holagas of land reserved as Inam. The village minus the land reserved as Inam should therefore be enfranchised on half assessment under Para II of the Chief Commissioner's

Progs. of the Govt. of Mysore

Later Refers

Dis/t for o/R. No. 787-05
B. June 05
(Sls 172).

Read:-

Letter no. ~~4226~~ D1247 dated 16th March 1898 from the Dy. Commissioner Bangalore District submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 130 being the amount payable to the manager of Nagareswara-Swamy temple at Begur for the years 1895-96, 1896-97 for the use of the temple at Rs 65/- a year out of the Sodi amount of Nugunatha poor Sala Kayengutta village in the Bangalore Taluk.

LR 46-88-05

---

Order thereon:-

The refund bill for Rs 130/- is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

2. The Dy. Commr is requested ~~to decide the case not in question in future~~, to see that such wrong credits involving refunds are not allowed in future. 11/4/98

Keg/~~sign~~

Vr 1/4/98

~~8/2~~ 1/4/98

To The Dy: Commr. Bangalore
" Comptroller with sanctioned bill

~~8/2~~ 11/4/98

No 9649-50
7L 942
11
5

8/5/98
5

LM

Year 1797-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1888-89

191 .

( R. A. )

File No. 46 of 88.

Serial Nos. 1-15

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1—15 (15) K.E

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 1898

SUBJECT.

Proposal to levy ~~good~~ half-assessment on Kayamgulla Village of Nagarathapur, Bangalore Tq

Executive Engineer

Under Secretary

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

Read,

Letter No 658 dated 21st January 1889, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, Submitting for orders, Vernacular papers in re the unauthorised alienation of Kayemgutta Nagnathpur village in the Bangalore Taluk which was granted for services in the Nageswaraswamy temple at Begur; and recommending that the village be subject to a jodi of half assessment and deducting therefrom the amount of Rs: 30 due to Government, and the balance being paid to the temple for due performance of services therein -

Order.

The document stated to have been executed in 1842-43 by the Archik of the time to Poottappa, father of Krishnappa, who is stated to be now in possession of the village lands, is not before Government. But from the terms of it, recited in the course of the proceedings and in the Inam Settlement Register, part of it appears that of the village lands the Archik reserved an area of 10 Kolagas to

A Permanent lease on favorable terms may be regarded as an alienation. — But a bona fide lease in the interests of the Pagoda on favorable terms is not an alienation. — whether the lease in the present case amounts to an alienation or not depends upon the present Revenue of the Village & the fact how much of it is due to the improvements made by the lessee. Ask the Dy Cent for information

to himself for temple services and leased out the rest to Poottappa, on the condition that the latter owned cultivate and enjoy them and paid the Govt demand on the entire village. This cannot be regarded as an alienation from the religious institution to which the village belongs. The Inam settlement which has confirmed the Inam, and with the consent of Krishnappa, registered it in the name of the temple deity, leaving the Archik to resort to a Civil Court for dispossession if desired, seems equitable

on the following points—

(1) What is the present income from the village, that is, what is the Revenue a Landlord is entitled to get from the village exclusive of the Rayatwar—

(2) Has the lessee constructed any wells or Tanks or introduced any particular improvements since he took the lands on lease & if so, how much of the Revenue now derived or derivable is due to such improvements?—

(3) What was the area under cultivation when the lease was granted & what is it now?

Y

equitable. It is also more in the interests of the temple, than the course now recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, the effects of which, if adopted, would be to enfranchise the village and to deprive the temple of its proprietary right in it, whereas, under the Inam Settlement as it exists, the temple manager for the time being, continues to be proprietor, and Government will have a hold on the Inam village if the

if by the grant of the permanent lease this Pagoda is not a loser, Mr Lyall may assume the grant a restricted to the Pagoda. Then matter may be considered hereafter

LL
17/2/91

Whereas, I believe, reasons strictly correct decisions on which a permanent lease have been held to be an alienation

LL
!

Whether a lease is a permanent one limited to the life of the grantee or permanent as regards heredetary descent would depend on the terms of the grant. If the lease was one creating a heritable interest and deprived

21.

the temple is not properly maintained and its services efficiently performed.

- Chief Secy

To.
The Dy Commr Bangalore
Distt

Rel Vr Ver: Papers -

the grantee of the right to remove the land should be regarded as an alienation + perpetuity. There is no enquiry which the Mamlatdar can conduct under the present as to the nature of the alienation whether Rule 11 of the Rules of 17 Nov 77 made for alienations generally by means of sale, gift, mortgage or otherwise to the purchaser of the interests of the temple for whose benefit the lands were granted The very fact that only 10 Khatas were reserved for the use absolutely in favor of the person now in possession shows that the alienation was made for the

+ why not? If it is not alienation, it cannot be entertained as such. HSF

@ no doubt the grantee of the alienation is known to the temple. But he is not known to Govt. Govt collection is not affected by such private arrangements. Govt cannot therefore recognise Mamlatdar without enquiry into the nature of the alienation. Govt is only concerned with the due performance of the service by the alienee. We will see if the grant is in the nature of sale or not.

Sd/ 18/12/91

Sd/ 18/12/91

Notification of 17th Nov. 1877
Any Quit rent over & above
the old Jodi being made
payable to the Temple -
The Inam reserved should
be Confirmed to the Temple -
with - these remarks the
papers will be forwarded
to the Inam Commissioner
for disposal -

11/7/91

11/7/91

There is a reference case
No hearing seems to
be necessary - [illegible]

11/7/91

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888-89 7825

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
22 JAN 89
5-63
BANGALORE CITY

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 19/1/89.

Despatched 22nd January 1889.

Received

Enclosures No. Ver: Papers.

General No. 658.

Submits for sanction certain proposals regarding the Khanigutta village of Nagenahalli, Bangalore Taluk

LR. 46-88

Pml upto Rule 22/1 Subsq 25/1 Mlg 15/2/89

Dy Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.

D. No. 658. Dated 21st January 1889.
Encls Ver: Papers.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In enclosing a file of Vernacular papers received from the Bangalore Amildar regarding the unauthorized alienation of a Khayengutta village of Naganathpur, granted for services in the Nageswaraswamy Temple at Begur, I have the honor to state that the village is at present in the enjoyment of one Krishnappa, Shanboque of Nagamangala village, subject to an annual payment of Rs: 30/- to Government.

2. The present enjoyer states that a forefather of the present Archika of the Temple had given it over, as all the land was waste and not capable of yielding even the Gutta amount, on condition of reserving 10 Kolagas as Inam for Temple purposes, that the village had since been improved at a great cost &c, and that he is not willing to give

it up for the benefit of the Temple.

3. At the Inam Settlement in 1877, the village was confirmed as Devadaya, and the manager or Archik was referred to a Civil Court for possession. But no action whatever seems to have been taken on it.

4. In October last, the present Archika Nāgadeekshita complained and the above facts were elicited. Under clause A of Rule II under Notification dated 17th November 1877, I propose for sanction that the village be subjected to a Jodi of 1/2 assessment and deducting therefrom the amount of Rs. 30/. due to Government, the remainder to be paid to the Temple for the due carrying out of Services therein.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

A. Arbuthnot
Dy Commissioner

W.H.

Read,

Letter No. 658 dated 21st January 1889, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting for orders, Vernacular papers in re the unauthorized alienation of Kaveripatta Nagamallapur village in the Bangalore Taluk which was granted for services in the Nageswaraswamy temple at Bégur; and recommending that the village be subjected to a yadi of half assessment and deducting therefrom the amount of Rs. 30/- due to Government, and the balance being paid to the temple for due performance of services therein.

LR. 46-88-2

No. 13066/524 - 9/19-2-91/21

Order -

A permanent lease on favorable terms may be

be regarded as an alienation. But a bona fide lease in the interests of the Pagoda, though on favorable terms, is not an alienation. Whether the lease in the present case amounts to an alienation or not, depends upon the past and present Revenue of the village and the fact how much of the latter is due to the improvements made by the lessee. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to submit information on the following points:—

(1) What is the present income from the village, that is, what is the Revenue a Landlord is entitled to get from the

the village, exclusive of the Ryot's share?

(2) Has the lessee constructed any wells or Tanks, or introduced any particular improvements since he took the lands on lease, and if so, how much of the Revenue now derived or derivable is due to such improvements?

(3) What was the area under cultivation when the lease was granted and what is it now?

2. If, by the grant of the permanent lease, the Pagoda is a loser, the Government may resume the grant and restore it to the Pagoda. This matter will be considered hereafter.

3. The Deputy Commr will also submit the original

2/V.79 R

original lease referred to.

To

The Dy Comr Bangalore

29 Feby 91

Chief Secy

Dy 18 Feby 1891.

To.

The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist

Returning Vernacular papers.

No 13066
524 R

Calling for certain information in re unauthorized alienation of Kharge mulla Nagana Thareve Village in the Bangalore Taluk, and also for submission of the original lease &c

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
2630
23 JUN. 91

189 92 46

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 20th June/91

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. File *.

General No.

No. 232

Furnishing information in the matter of the alienation of Kayenputta Naganathpur village Bangalore Taluk, granted for services in the Begur Nageswary's Temple.

Reference

Submitted

Councillor

* forwarded to the Durbar with 4620 dt 31st July 91 P.24

LR 4688-3

Sheranmdyer— Translate & enter & draft an order

Done 24/7/91

24/7/91

Done 24/7/91

A.1 2.7.91

No. 232
Enc: 1 file.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore District
Dated 20th June 1891

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to Government Proceedings No. 10066/524 dated 21st February last, calling for further information in the matter of the alienation of Jodi Naganathapura village in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to report as follows:-

1:- The village being "Becharak", the Landlord, the Amildar reports, derives no income whatever. But for the past 6 or 7 years, the neighbouring ryots having applied for "Hulbane", a sum of 8 or 10 Rupees is being—

realized therefrom.

2- The lessee, the father of the present enjoyer, is reported to have once improved the village by digging wells, constructing "Kuntes" and building houses. He seems to have also brought some ryots into the village, who however deserted it. All these, except the Kuntes, which is even stated not to be useful for cultivation purposes, at present, are now in ruins. The present enjoyer, is reported to have subsequently planted casuarina plants in 25 or 30 acres of land on 'Wara' tenure with the help of the neighbouring ryots. It is thus apparent that no income is derived from these improvements for the present.

3 No portion of the village was under cultivation when the lease was originally granted, nor has any portion since been brought under

cultivation.

4 - The vernacular papers connected with the subject, together with the original lease, are enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

Land

Letter N. 668 dt 21st January 1889 from the Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dt regarding the unauthorized alienation of the Kayagutta village of Nyanattpur Bangalore Taluk granted for services in the Nageswaraswamy temple at Begur.

2. Do from do. dt 20th June/91, N. 232.

Order. Rs. 4 90 / P. 24 12/7/94

The document of Sham (1848-42) executed by Arekat Narainiengar to Venkatarayya clearly alienates the village with the exception of the ten Kolagas of land reserved as Inam. The village minus the land reserved as Inam should therefore be enfranchised on half assessment under para II of the Chief Commrs Notification of 14th Novr 1877, any quit-rent over and above the old Jodi being made payable to the Temple. The Inam reserved should be confirmed

to

to the Temple. With such re-
marks the papers will be
forwarded to the Inam
Comm^r for disposal.

M. 1871/92

Rev. 4690 P. 24 Under Secy.

Sir

The Supt. of Inam Settls
with the papers.

LR 46-88-4

Not traceable

AR

(General Serial No. 30).

1891/92

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

1911

Dated 18
Despatched 22 } July 1891
Received

Enclosures No. Record

General No. 4690 R
P. No. 24

Forwarding ~~for disposal~~ with certain remarks the papers connected with the alienation of Kayangutta, Nagamathipur village, Bangalore Taluk, granted for services in the Begur Nagaswaraswamy Temple.

(General Serial No. ~~8~~ 840)

1893.

No. 490

Name of Petitioner. Krishnappa

Village. Naganaungala

Taluk. Bangalore

District. Do.

Date of Arzi. August 93

Date of Receipt. 17-8-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. eight annas & 7. stam

ABSTRACT.

Applies for a copy of th
order levying 7 3 Rupees
extra assessment on the
Kayamgutta Naganathp
village

Fee received stamp delivered.

16/11/93.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893-94 12462

*From* The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

*To* R 2392

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

R 246-88-6

*Dated* 10th
*Despatched* 12th } February 1894
*Received*

**Enclosures No. 6**

*General No.*
D No. 924
~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

~~Submit~~ for sanction a bill for Rs ~~1~~ being the allowance payable to the Nagar Reswara Swami Temple Bangalore Taluk of the Jodi amount of the Rayangutta village of Nagarathapur.

W 12-2-94.

*References* (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Supdt Inam Settlement
No 13813 of 14/2/94
R 1422

~~Submitted~~ Draft
~~Bill~~

D No. 924.

Encl. No. 6.

Dy. Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore 10th February 1894.

From,

The Dy: Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In the final Settlement of the Stala kayemgutta village of Kapanathapur in the Bangalore Taluk, the Inam Superintendent raised the Jodi or Quit rent amount from Rupees 30/- to 95/- and settled that Rupees 65/- should thereof be paid to the Nayareswaraswami Temple and Rupees 30/- to Government. Last year the whole of the Quit rent was levied and credited to Government and the Temple-Archak prays for the payment of the money due to the Institution. I have therefore the honor to submit a bill for the amount of Rs. 65/- and to solicit that it may be sanctioned and returned early.

2.

2. The Vernacular papers as also the Quit rent registers are herein enclosed for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

Mr. Commissioner

No 13813 Dt 14-2-94
R 1422
Encl.

LR. 46-88-7

To

The Superintendent
Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Sir.

I forwarding herewith letter No 924 dated 10th Feby/94 with its enclosures (in original, to be obliginglg returned) from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to report the circumstances under which the combined quit rent of the Nagamathapur Kaggugutta Village in the Bangalore taluk was raised from Rs 30 to Rs 95/. and a major portion thereof viz Rs 65/.

made parallel to the
Nageeswara temple

I have seen

14/2/94

for Chief Secty.

A D

(General Serial No. 31.)

189 .

*From*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

Draft for appl

14

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894 13150

From The Superintendent Inam Settlements in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

LR 46-88-8

Dated 22nd Feby/94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 7

General No. 46

No.

Forwarding copy of Inam Register of Nagenahalli Hatti Nagenhalli village Bangalore Taluk.

R Subdivisional Officer

4/4/94.

References to be marked

To.

The Deputy Commr Bangalore District

No 16917 dt 4/4/94.

R 1698

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94—3000.

No. 46/7

Inam Suptts Office
Bangalore d/ 23rd Feby 1894

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In returning the enclosures received with your letter No. 13,813/R.1422 dated 14/17 Instant, I have the honor to forward copy of the Inam Register (No. 341) of Naganathpura Stall Kayem gutta village, Bangalore Taluk, from which it will be observed under what circumstances the combined quit-rent of the village under report has been raised.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Signed
Suptt of Inam Settlt

D.

Copy of the Register of Inams in the Village of

## Class, Extent, and value of Inam.

| Number of Case or entries holding under the Grant in the Village | General Class to which the Inam belongs. | Survey No. & name of Fields or Fields Comprised in the Grant. Dry, wet or Garden | Extent: Local measure Equivalent where the local measure is in acres Cents & Annas &c to be entered in this Col. | Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals. | Old Assessment. | Survey Assessment. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Where no Survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government the sum paid by the Ryot to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Govt. land, shd be entd in Column 7. | |
| (J.D. No. 1535) | Class A Class a | Gross area Dry Deduct Jain dams | Taluk Painad 1807 K. — K. — P. 26-3-8 / 0-6-9¼ | " | Huzur Stall Kay = Inam Gutta account of 1835 & 1865 | " |
| | | Balance | 25-16-14¾ | | P. 7 V. Rs a P 103-0=29-15-5 | |
| | | Particulars | | | | |
| | | Pramboke | 23-5-0 | | | |
| | | Cultivated | 0-9-3 | | | |
| | | Cultivable waste | 2-2-11¾ | | | |
| | | | 25-16-14¾ | | | |

Rec'd Final Dist Rent Register No. 805-

Note.

The Survey Book gives each Inam Rot-g-s which the Minor Inams

tapura Stall Kayengutta, in the Kabli of Bega

| Whether free of Tax &c. or liable to Quit-Rent &c. i.e. Jodi &c. Amount of Latter to be filled in. | By whom Granted and Date of Grant. | Written instruments in support of the claim viz. Sanad all Mis. documents & other proofs of long possession. In this Col: it shd be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c. and the general specification of terms of all documents produced shd be given | Name of original Grantee. | Name entered in the Register prepared by Parwanah upto 1810. | Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jodi<br>10-3-0 =<br>Rs: 29-15-5. | " | " | " | " | Huzur Kayengutta account of 1835.<br>Nagamatta pura village Stall Kayengutta<br>Nageswara Swami Temple at Begoor.<br>Beriz — 10-3-0<br>As per Sanad from Fouzdar Linga Jois dated 13th moon's decease of Maylia of the year "Sreemookha" (1812-13)<br>Huzur Class II No. 6 account 1865 Nagamatta pura village Jodi Rs: 29-15-5. |

Jodi

10-3-0 =

Rs: 29-15-5.

"

"

"

"

Huzur Kayengutta account of 1835.

Nagamatta pura village Stall Kayengutta

Nageswara Swami Temple at Begoor.

Beriz — 10-3-0

As per Sanad from Fouzdar Linga Jois dated 13th moon's decease of Maylia of the year "Sreemookha" (1812-13)

Huzur Class II No. 6 account 1865 Nagamatta pura village Jodi Rs: 29-15-5.

Taluk of Bangalore, in the District of Bangalore

| Relation to mgt. grantee or subsequent Mgt. holders. | Surviving heirs of the predecessor incumbent | If unmarried with the original holder possession how obtained and what year | Abstract of the orders already [illegible] Comm[r] or the Comm[r] [illegible] on cases regarding validity or [illegible] Asistant's Opinion & Rec[ommendation] |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 49 |
| | | | 1. Whole grant, Devadaya Still Mayu[rasa] |
| | | | 2. To be confirmed under clause 2 of [illegible] existing Jodi of Rs: 29-15-5 or Rs: 30. |
| | | | 3. The main is subject to local fund & [illegible] |
| | | | 4. Sayer, Abkari, & Forest rights are reserved. |
| | | | 5. Valuation Survey is desired. |
| | | | 6. The original Sanad alluded in the account is [illegible] forthcoming. |
| | | | 7. Archik Nunjundiah complains that this village [illegible] of Chandrasekharapura both were in the enjoyment [illegible] about 20 years ago, his father died, leaving him as a [illegible] whole estate, both moveable & immoveable properties [illegible] temple, were under the management of his uncle Nara[yana] indiscriminately disposed of all the moveable prop[erties] the immoveable ones to others; That the Shanbogue of K[asaba] Kristnappa is in possession of this village, besides go[ing] Rs: 2000 in cash. That this village was never mortgaged [by] the said Kristnappa & his father has been enjoying this [village] a document executed by the said old Narnappah. That [it] for the benefit of the temple. That after his return from [Mysore] years ago, he acquired & got possession of all the lands [belonging] That the said Kristnappa refuses to restore the village [property] filed Statement himself. Requests that after confirming [the] in the temple's name, the same may be put in his [possession] 8. Kristnappah in his defence produces a Karar [bearing] name of his father Venkatiyah by Narnappah in [favour] ~~[illegible] this village was~~ |

Vizagapatam District.

3

d by the
revision
of the Irri
endation

## Review by the Personal Assistant and Confirmation by the Irrign Commr

20.

da.
s 23 on the
Passes.

14 is not
the village
family. That
. that the
ing to the
ah, who
transferred
ala named
ver and
ld. That
by getting
ivi is given
about 15
t this village.
at he has
village
on.
ed in the
sear
the latter

1. Whole grant Devadaya Jari
2. Confirmed on the Jodi of Rs: 30 and subject to all Local Fund and Irrigation Cesses.
3. Rights to Sayer, Abkari and Forests reserved.
4. A Survey valuation is desired.
5. The Archik, or Managers must recover possession of the Village through the Civil Courts.

(Signed) Robt Cole
25/10/76.

6. Vide Government Pro: No 4690 P. 24 of 18 July 1891 ordering that with the exception of ten Kolgas of land reserved as Inam for the Temple of Nageswara Swami, the whole village should be enfranchised on ½ Assessment which is payable to the said Institution under para 2 of the late Chief Commrs Notification of 17th November 1877, and confirmed to the Existing Jodi of Rs 30 Venkataraiya.

name of the Kanipe [illegible] is on Swamy's [illegible]

9. The Karar alluded to is on Swamy's [illegible] bringunable to impose the village & pay the Jodi, he executed a document to the effect that the whole village which is a "Declirakh" should be enjoyed by Venkatiyah paying the Circar Jodi and that 10 Kolagas of land should be appor-tioned for the expenses of Deepparadhana to the Nageswara devara.-

(Signed) B. Rajagopal Naidu
25/10/76.

8. Vide the [illegible] Survey Supt's [illegible] Sanad No. 17/1 of 4th April 1892

| | | A. G. | Rs: |
|---|---|---|---|
| Total area & assessment. | | 426.10 | 177 |
| Deduct. | A. G. Rs a P. | | |
| For 2 Service Inams | 13-15-00 | | |
| Reserved land to the Temple as per para 6 above | 24-2 m | 10.37 | 17 |
| | 37-17-m | | |
| Balance | | 415.13 | 160 |

Particulars.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inculturable | | 10-35 | 0-4 |
| Dry Cultivated | | 73.2 | 70 |
| Cultivable Waste | | 331.16 | 89-12 |
| | Total | 415.13 | 160 |

Former Gutta - avoiding fractions Rs:30 payable to Government 29-15-

½ Unit Rent on the difference of Rs:130-0-7=65-0-4 = Rupees 65 payable to the Institution of Nageswara at Begur[illegible] - Total 94-15-9

avoiding fractions - Rs 95

9. The village is finally confirmed according in the name of Krishnappa son of Venkatrayappa Aliance.

10. The ten Kolgas of land or 6-24 a.g. is confirmed free of tax to the Temple of Nageswara at Begur as per the Government Proceedings quoted in

"Copy"

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inams
3-6-92

Supt. of Inams Settlt

LR 46-88-9

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

Dated. 4-4-94
6

No 16917 Sec 6
R 1698

His No 924 of 10th Feby/94 forwarding a refund Bill for Rs 65/- being the allowance payable to the Nageswaraswami temple in the Begur Village, Bangalore Taluk.

---

In returning the bill and other papers received with the above, requests the Deputy Commissioner will obtain the Treasury Officer's

credit certificate to the Bills & submit the same for orders.

Brooks
HH 4/4/94
Under Secty

(General Serial No. 31.)

189

*From*

*To*

*Dated*

*Despatched*

*Received*

**Enclosures No.**

*General No.*

*No.*

Draft for copies

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894 16068

OFFICE
21 APR 94
R3241

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 23rd April 1894.

Despatched 26th do

Received

LR 46-88-10

Enclosures No. 6

General No.
D No. 1276

His No. 16917/1698 of 4th Instant,
Returning a refund bill
for Rs 65/- for Treasury
Officers credit certificate.

In reply, has the honor
to resubmit the bill with
the required certificate.
2. The Canarese papers
are returned.

I have the honor
Dy Commissioner.

Rev 29-4

To
The Saine, Supdt Revenue and
Huzoor dcq no 2056-8
R279-93
dy 29/5/94.

Read:

Correspondence ending with docket No. 1276 dated 23rd April 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District regarding the refund of Rs 65/- payable to the Managen of Nagaresvara-swami temple at Bejur in the Bangalore Taluk out of the Jodi amount of Nagamatha-pur Stala Koyengutta Village in the Bangalore Taluk which was credited to Government.

LR 46-88-11

No 20756-8 R.7.9-93 dt 29.5.94 Order thereon.

1-6.

M.V.P.

The bill for Rs 65/- is

is Sanctioned and trans
-mitted to the Comp-
-troller for audit.

29/5/94

Vi Under Secy.

29/5/94

To.

1 The Deputy Commr:
Bangalore District return-
-ing vernacular papers.

2 The Comptroller with
the bill.

Cl 3
27/5 — The Inspr: Schools
for information

Draft

Gen. 31]

2943
K 258
1896-97.

LR. 46-88-17

From The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments

Dated
Despatched 28th October 1896
Received

General No.
D No. 418
Enclosure No. 1

Submits for sanction
Refund bill for Rs 130/-
payable to the manager
of Nageśvaraswami
Temple for the years 1893-94
and 1894-95, as Quit-rent

Rev 31/10/96

Rs. 3.11.96. R 10/11

12/11/96

Dy Commissioner's Office.

D. No. 418

Encl. one

Bangalore District.

Dated 28th/30th October/96.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl. and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In the final settlement of the stal Kayangutta village of Nagenathapur in the Bangalore Taluk, the Inam Superintendent raised the Quit-rent from Rs 30/. to Rs 95/. and directed that Rs 65/. thereof should be paid to the Nageswaraswami Temple and Rs 30/. to Government.

2. The whole of the Quit-rent was credited to Government in the years 1892-93, 1893-94 and 1894-95 but a refund of Rs 65/. for the year 1892-93 in favor of the manager of the said Institution

was sanctioned vide Government Proceedings No. 2075-6-8 dated 1st June 1894.
R.F 9-93

3. I have the honor therefore to submit for sanction a Refund bill for the amount of Rs 130/- payable to the said manager for 1893-94 and 1894-95.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

W Mackenzie
Dy Commissioner.

Draft

Read:

Letter No. 418 dated 28th October 1896, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 130/ being the amount payable to the Managen of Naganeswara Swamy temple at Begur for the years 1893-94 and 1894-95 at Rs 65/ a year, out of the Jodi amount of Naganathpur Atala Kaval Gutta village in the Bangalore Taluk.

Order thereon.

The refund bill for Rs 130/ is sanctioned and passed on to the Comptroller for audit.

Secretary

To The Dy Commr., Bangalore District

The Comptroller with bill

REGISTRY Gl. No. 6917
K Vol. 1163
WAR DEPARTMENT 1897-98

From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Departments.

Dated 4th March 1898
Despatched 22nd Do
Received
General No.
No. 1247.
Enclosure No. 1 bill

LR. 46-88-14

Submits for sanction a
refund bill for Rs 130/- in favor
of the Manager of the Nagarathamma
temple at Begur
Bangalore Taluk for the
years 1895-96 and 1896-97 for the
use of the temple.

W D Aiyar
Rec 24/3/98

Rec
26/3

Refer back to
Dy
29/3.

Subs with draft for appl
1/4/98